



Democratic and Member Support Chief Executive's Department Plymouth City Council Ballard House Plymouth PLI 3BJ

Please ask for Helen Wright/Kristin Barnes T 01752 304022/307903 E Democratic Support Officer www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy Published 28/11/16

# SELECT COMMITTEE REVIEW: COUNCIL TAX SUPPORT SCHEME SUPPLEMENT PACK

Wednesday 30 November 2016 3pm Warspite Room, Council House

#### Members:

Councillor Bowie, Chair. Councillors Ball, Fletcher, Fry, James, Morris, Penberthy and Tuohy.

Please find attached, additional information for your consideration, relating to agenda items 4c and 4d.

Tracey Lee Chief Executive

## Select Committee Review: Council Tax Support Scheme

## Agenda

## 4. Select Committee Review: Council Tax Support Scheme:

| 4c. Supporting Information: Equalities and Impact Assessment | (Pages   - 10)  |
|--|-----------------|
| 4d. Supporting Information: Modelling Information            | (Pages 11 - 12) |

## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT



Customer Services

### STAGE I: WHAT IS BEING ASSESSED AND BY WHOM?

| What is being assessed - including a brief description of aims and objectives? | Each year we review how much reduction in Council Tax is given to people of working age on a low income and publish this in a Council Tax Reduction scheme also known as Council Tax Support (CTS).   |
|--|---|
|  | <ul> <li>All Devon authorities have been working together on proposals for CTS Schemes across the<br/>county. In April 2016, it was agreed that we would consult on eight changes, effective from 1<br/>April 2017.</li> </ul>  |
|  | The 8 proposed changes are as follows:  |
|  | <ul> <li>Removing the Family Premium for all new working age claimants</li> </ul>   |
|  | <ul> <li>Reducing backdating for new claims to one month</li> </ul>   |
|  | <ul> <li>To assume a set minimum income within the calculation of CTS for self-employed earners after a<br/>one year's self-employment</li> </ul>   |
|  | <ul> <li>Reducing the period a person can be absent from Great Britain and still receive CTS to four<br/>weeks</li> </ul>   |
|  | <ul> <li>To remove the work related activity component in the calculation of the current scheme for new<br/>Employment and Support claimants</li> </ul>   |
|  | <ul> <li>To limit the number of dependent children within the calculation for CTS to a maximum of two</li> <li>To remove entitlement to the Severe Disability Premium where someone with a disability is cared for by another person who receives Universal Credit with a Carer's Element</li> <li>To remove the additional earnings disregard and apply the standard earnings disregards regardless of hours worked to those claimants who receive Universal Credit</li> </ul> |
|  | The proposed changes should make it easier for customers to understand the scheme, as there will be similar criteria in Housing Benefit and Universal Credit. These changes aim to encourage work and reduce the level of welfare benefits available to some. Additionally, using the same criteria in the Council Tax Support scheme should make it simpler, more efficient and less costly to run the scheme.   |
| Author   | Emma Rose   |
| Department and service   | Customer Services   |
| Date of assessment   | 28 November 2016  |

### **STAGE 2: EVIDENCE AND IMPACT**

| Protected<br>characteristics<br>(Equality Act) | Evidence<br>feedback)  |  | tion (eg data a  | and                              | Any adverse impact<br>See guidance on how to make judgement  | Actions   | Timescale and<br>who is<br>responsible                                      |
|--|--|--|--|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Age  | the same<br>less than t<br>Of the 16<br>lowest pe<br>sixth high<br>and the fif | as the rest of l<br>the South Wes<br>SW authoritie<br>rcentage of old<br>est percentage<br>fth highest per | es we have the t<br>der people (75),<br>of working age<br>centage of childr                                | s), but<br>hird<br>the<br>people | Customers of pension age are not impacted<br>by the proposed changes to the working age<br>Council Tax Reduction scheme. Changes to<br>the pension age scheme are the<br>responsibility of central government.   | Hardship fund<br>available                              | Cabinet decision<br>January 2017,<br>scheme<br>implementation<br>April 2017 |
|  | Under 18   | ople (under 18<br>s account for 1<br>s 17.5 % are un   | ,<br>9.8% of our pop   | pulation                         | Households with children would be more<br>adversely financially impacted by the<br>proposals to remove the family premium  | Recommendation<br>not to implement<br>those 2 proposals |   |
|  |  | %) young peopl   | e are estimated t<br>le aged between   |                                  | (proposal I) and limiting the number of<br>dependent children to 2 (proposal 6)  | relating to families<br>with children                   |   |
|  | (16-64) is   |  | vorking age popu<br>) than regionally  |                                  |  |   |   |
|  | Using exis<br>2016, the<br>– 9,343, V<br>increases<br>claimant a<br>The age ra | sting scheme d<br>total CTS case<br>Vorking Age –<br>to 27,310 if it<br>and their partn                    | ata as at Septem<br>eload is 23,706 (<br>14,363). This fig<br>includes both th<br>er.<br>all claimants and | Elderly<br>gure<br>le            | in receipt of any welfare benefit those which<br>contain someone with a disability are less<br>likely to have children, relative to those<br>households which do not. Therefore of<br>households in receipt of welfare those<br>containing someone with a disability are less<br>likely to be affected<br>http://www.parliament.uk/documents/impact- |   |   |
|  | Age<br>Range   | Numbers  | %  |                                  | assessments/IA15-006E.pdf  |   |   |
|  | 18-25  | 1184   | 4.34%  |                                  |  |   |   |
|  | 25-35  | 4027   | 14.75%   |                                  |  |   |   |
|  | 35-45  | 4262   | 15.61%   |                                  |  |   |   |
|  | 45-55  | 4415   | 16.17%   |                                  |  |   |   |

| 55-65   | 4219  | 15.45%   |                         |
|---|---|--|-------------------------|
| 65-75   | 5303  | 19.42%   | -                       |
| >75   | 3900  | 14.28%   | -                       |
| Totals  | 27310   | 11.20/0  | -                       |
| group is r<br>to the wo<br>pensionat<br>the pensio<br>central go<br>The num | not affected by<br>orking age sche<br>ole age may be<br>on age scheme<br>overnment.<br>ber of childre | is pensionable a<br>the proposed c<br>eme. Note that<br>affected by char<br>that are made l<br>en in working a<br>is as follows: | hanges<br>nges to<br>Dy |
| Workin  |   |  |                         |
| l child   |   | 2511   |                         |
| 2 childr  | <b>~</b>  | 1854   |                         |
| 3 childr  |   | 935  |                         |
| 4 childr  |   | 298  |                         |
| 5 childr  |   | 110  |                         |
| 6 childr  |   | 24   |                         |
| 7 childr  |   | 10   |                         |
|   |   |  |                         |
| 8 childr  |   | 2  |                         |
| 9 childr  | en  | 3  |                         |
| Total C   | laims   | 5747   |                         |

|            | The total number of households w or more is 1,382.  | vith 3 children   |   |  |  |
|------------|---|---|---|--|--|
|            | Consultation feedback: 30% of resp<br>children in their household. 55% of<br>with the proposal to limit the num<br>dependent children to 2.   | f them agreed   |   |  |  |
| Disability | A total of 31,164 people (from 28.<br>households) declared themselves a<br>long-term health problem or disab<br>figure 25.7 per cent of households)<br>with the total number of people w<br>in UK (11,600,000).<br>10 per cent of our population have<br>today activities limited a lot by a lo<br>health problem or disability.<br>1,224 adults registered with a GP i<br>have some form of learning disabili<br>Plymouth schools report that of ev<br>children 17.5 have a learning difficu<br>There are 27166 adults with a disa<br>There are 23,407 carers aged betw<br>64 in Plymouth known to our serv<br>There are 17,937 state pension age<br>disability.<br>There are 3,142 children with disal<br>Our Translate Plymouth services r<br>BSL is amongst our most requested<br>The current scheme data as at Sep<br>identifies 5,970 CTS claims where<br>disability recorded:<br>Age Range Disable | activ<br>as having a<br>bility (national<br>), compared<br>vith disabilities<br>e their day-<br>ong-term<br>in Plymouth<br>ity (2010/11).<br>very 1,000<br>ulty.<br>ability in work.<br>ween 18 and<br>vices.<br>e people with<br>bility.<br>the re-<br>corded that<br>ed languages.<br>btember 2016<br>there is a<br>prem<br>ed<br>claim | ional Government impact assessment of<br>nges states no families will see a cash loss<br>result of the policy. Instead those who<br>be affected will be those claiming ESA<br>in April 2017 and have limited capability<br>work. The notional loss to each family is<br>ected to be around £28 a week.<br>neone moving into work could, by<br>tking around 4-5 hours a week at<br>ional Living Wage, recoup the notional<br>of the Work-Related Activity<br>iponent or Limited Capability for Work<br>nent.<br>visional modelling indicates a loss of<br>port from the CTS scheme of £5.90 per<br>to vulnerable households (which<br>udes this protected characteristic) should<br>recommended option be implemented<br>x://www.parliament.uk/documents/impact-<br>essments/IA15-006B.pdf | Hardship fund<br>available<br>Further work to<br>model the impact<br>on Plymouth CTS<br>scheme in more<br>detail is underway |  |
|            | 18-25 111   | 9.38% claim   | mants fairly, as this is already in place for   |  |  |

|                          |   |  |  | housing benefit claimants.   |                            | 1      |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|--------|
|                          | 25-35   | 436  | 10.83%   | nousing benefit claimants.   |                            |        |
|                          | 35-45   | 634  | 14.88%   |  |                            |        |
|                          | 45-55   | 1176   | 26.64%   |  |                            |        |
|                          | 55-65   | 1247   | 29.56%   |  |                            |        |
|                          | 65-75   | 1175   | 22.16%   |  |                            |        |
|                          | >75   | 1191   | 30.54%   |  |                            |        |
|                          | Totals  | 5970   |  |  |                            |        |
|                          | to apply to the<br>Consultation fe<br>85 of the total<br>themselves as<br>with the propo<br>activity compo<br>proposal to rer<br>premium (whe | Plymouth popul<br>representation<br>scheme<br>eedback:<br>of 273 respond<br>disabled. Of the<br>osal relating to v<br>nent. 31 disagro<br>move the severe<br>re the claimant<br>dy in receipt of | lation figure -<br>n of people eligible<br>ents described<br>ose, 25 disagreed<br>vork related<br>eed with the |  |                            | Page 5 |
| Faith/religion or belief | 84,326 (32.9%)<br>population stat   | ed they had no   | religion.  | Eligibility and calculations are not made based on faith/religion or belief.         | Hardship fund<br>available |        |
|                          | religion combin   | ned totalled less  | , Jewish or Sikh<br>s than I per cent.   | National Government impact assessment of changes highlights that lone parents, women |                            |        |
|                          | Christianity: 14<br>decreased from  | 18,917 people (<br>n 73.6 per cent   |  | and ethnic minority households are more likely to be impacted                        |                            |        |
|                          | Islam: 2,078 pe<br>0.4 per cent sir   | • • •  | ent), doubled from   | http://www.parliament.uk/documents/impact-<br>assessments/IA15-006E.pdf              |                            |        |

|   | Buddhism: 881 people (0.3 per cent), increased<br>from 0.2 per cent since 2001.<br>Hinduism: 567 people (0.2 per cent) described  |   |                            |      |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|------|
|   | their religion as Hindu, increased from 0.1 per<br>cent since 2001.   |   |                            |      |
|   | Judaism: 168 people (0.1 per cent), decreased from 181 people since 2001.   |   |                            |      |
|   | Sikhism: 89 people (less than 0.1 per cent), increased from 56 people since 2001.   |   |                            |      |
|   | 0.5 per cent of the population had a current<br>religion that was not Christianity, Islam,<br>Buddhism, Hinduism, Judaism or Sikh, such as<br>Paganism or Spiritualism.   |   |                            |      |
|   | This information is not available for our scheme as it is not collected.  |   |                            |      |
| Gender - including<br>marriage,<br>pregnancy and<br>maternity | Overall 50.6 per cent of our population are<br>women and 49.4 per cent are men: this reflects<br>the national figure of 50.8 per cent women and<br>49.2 per cent men.   | National Government impact assessment of<br>changes highlights that lone parents, women<br>and ethnic minority households are more<br>likely to be impacted | Hardship fund<br>available | Page |
|   | There were 3280 births in 2011. Birthrate<br>trends have been on the increase since 2001,<br>but since 2010 the number of births has<br>stabilised. Areas with highest numbers of births<br>include Stonehouse (142), Whitleigh (137) and<br>Devonport (137). | http://www.parliament.uk/documents/impact-<br>assessments/IA15-006E.pdf   |                            | σ    |
|   | Of those aged 16 and over, 90,765 people (42.9 per cent) are married. 5,190 (2.5 per cent) are separated and still legally married or legally in a same-sex civil partnership.  |   |                            |      |
|   | In Plymouth in 2014 average hourly earnings for women (£10.00) were 93 per cent of average hourly male earnings (£11.82).   |   |                            |      |
|   | In Plymouth in 2005, women working full time earned only 81 per cent of average hourly full-  |   |                            |      |

|                        | <ul> <li>time male earnings. By 2010 this gap had closed and women were earning 90 per cent. In 2014 the gap had slightly widened.</li> <li>Across the South West region in 2014 women working full-time only earn 86 per cent of average full-time hourly male earnings, and for the UK as a whole the figure is 90 per</li> <li>Women employed by Plymouth City Council currently earn 96% of the average full time hourly wages of their male colleagues.</li> <li>The current scheme data (as at September 2016) identifies the number of male/female claimants:</li> </ul> |  |                            | Page 7 |
|------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--------|
| Gender<br>reassignment | <ul> <li>It is estimated that there may be 10,000 transgender people in the UK.</li> <li>There were 26 referrals from Plymouth made to the Newton Abbott clinic, in 2013/14.</li> <li>The average age for presentation for reassignment of male-to-females is 40-49.</li> <li>For female-to-male the age group is 20-29.</li> <li>Twenty three transgender people belong to Pride in Plymouth.</li> </ul>   | Eligibility and calculations are not made<br>based on gender preference. | Hardship fund<br>available |        |
|                        | This information is not available for our scheme as it is not collected.  |  |                            |        |

| Race | <ul> <li>92.9 per cent of Plymouth's population identify themselves as White British.</li> <li>7.1 per cent identify themselves as Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) with White Other (2.7 per cent), Chinese (0.5 per cent) and Other Asian (0.5 per cent) the most common ethnic groups.</li> <li>Our recorded BME population rose from 3 per cent in 2001 to 6.7 per cent in 2011, and therefore has more than doubled since the 2001 census.</li> <li>Recent census data suggests we have at least 43 main languages spoken in the city, showing Polish, Chinese and Kurdish as the top three. Based on full year data for 2012-13, our Translate Plymouth services recorded that the most requested languages are Polish, British Sign Language (BSL) and Chinese Mandarin. Nearly 100 different languages are spoken in schools by children of different backgrounds. Polish and Arabic are the most common, spoken by 385 and 143 children respectively. Four neighbourhoods have a population of school age children where 20 per cent or more are from a BME background. They are City Centre (38.0 per cent), Stonehouse (29.9 per cent) and East End (23.4 per cent). There are 1867 school children (over 5 years old) that speak English as an additional other language.</li> <li>The Ride has 13 recently refurbished permanent site pitches. We have three small private sites with a total of 4 pitches. In 2010, which was our peak year, we dealt with 44</li> </ul> | Eligibility and calculations are not made<br>based on race.<br>National Government impact assessment of<br>changes highlights that lone parents, women<br>and ethnic minority households are more<br>likely to be impacted<br>http://www.parliament.uk/documents/impact-<br>assessments/IA15-006E.pdf | Hardship fund<br>available |  | Dana 8 |
|------|---|---|----------------------------|--|--------|
|------|---|---|----------------------------|--|--------|

| Sexual orientation<br>-including civil<br>partnership | unauthorised encampments in the city with<br>over 200 children living on them.<br>The 2001 Census records that there were<br>4328 people from the A8 and A2 Accession<br>Countries resident in the City. Of these 2332<br>recorded their country of birth as Poland,<br>with 57 per cent arriving between March 2006<br>and 2008.<br>This information is not available for our<br>scheme as it is not collected.<br>There is no precise local data on numbers of<br>Lesbian, Gay and Bi-sexual (LGB) people in<br>Plymouth, but nationally the government have<br>estimated this to be between 5 - 7 per cent<br>and Stonewall agree with this estimation given<br>in 2005. This would mean that for Plymouth<br>the figure is approximately 12,500 to 17,500<br>people aged over 16 in Plymouth are LGB.<br>There are 464 people in a registered Same-<br>Sex Civil Partnership<br>This information is not available for our<br>scheme as it is not collected. | Eligibility and calculations are not made based on sexual orientation. | Hardship fund<br>available |  |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|
|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|

## STAGE 3: ARE THERE ANY IMPLICATIONS FOR THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE RECORD ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN

| Local priorities   | Implications | Timescale and who is responsible |
|--|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Reduce the gap in average hourly<br>pay between men and women by<br>2020.  |              |                                  |
| Increase the number of hate<br>crime incidents reported and<br>maintain good satisfaction rates in<br>dealing with racist, disablist,<br>homophobic, transphobic and |              |                                  |

| faith, religion and belief incidents by 2020.                     |  |
|---|--|
| Good relations between different communities (community cohesion) |  |
| Human rights<br>Please refer to <u>guidance</u>                   |  |

### **STAGE 4: PUBLICATION**

Responsible Officer: Emma Rose

Director, Assistant Director or Head of Service

Date 28 November 2016

## PLYMOUTH CTS SCHEME

Provisional Modelling 2017/18



| Scheme description   | Model I  | Model 2  | Model 3   |
|--|--|--|---|
|  | No changes to current<br>Scheme in 17/18 with<br>max support 80% | Eight proposals<br>implemented with<br>max support 80% and<br>CT liability | Six proposals<br>implemented (not<br>child allowance<br>restriction, not family<br>premium removal)<br>with max support 80% |
| Total cost of scheme   | £17,907,630  | £17,154,649  | £17,530,914   |
| Support to Working<br>Age Households                                 | £9,501,320   | £8,763,756   | £9,139,484  |
| Estimated Cost Savings<br>(Working Age)                              | n/a  | -£737,564  | -£361,836   |
| Average annual loss in support                                       | n/a  | -£50.52  | -£23.56   |
| Number of households<br>losing support all<br>together               | n/a  | 1,152  | 682   |
| Annual change in<br>support to vulnerable<br>households <sup>1</sup> | n/a  | -£21.80  | -£5.90  |
| Annual change in<br>support to non-<br>vulnerable households         | n/a  | -£71.22  | -£36.30   |

NB – full analysis expected by early December. All Options above assume council tax increased by 2% for 2017/18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Vulnerable households are those in in receipt of DLA/PiP, ESA WRAG, ESA Support, war disablement, war widows

This page is intentionally left blank